

## REMARKS

This is a full and timely response to the Advisory Action mailed June 23, 2005, (Paper No. 20050616). Reconsideration and allowance of the Application and presently pending claims 1-6, 8, 9, 42-44, 56, and 57 are respectfully requested in view of the foregoing remarks. Applicants should not be presumed to agree with any statements made in the Office Action unless otherwise specifically indicated by Applicants.

### I. Allowable Subject Matter in the Final Office Action

In the final Office Action mailed February 23, 2005, (Paper No. 20050221), the Examiner's statement in the Office Action indicated that claims 7 and 58 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 7 and 58 depend on independent claims 1 and 56, respectively. Applicants had amended independent claims 1 and 56 to include the features of the claims 7 and 58, respectively, in Applicants' Response to the Final Office Action. In addition, Applicants had amended independent claims 10, 15, 20, 28, 34, and 59 to include the feature of providing a user with a list of removed media titles.

### II. Allowable Claims

The Examiner's statement in the Advisory Action mailed June 23, 2005, (Paper No. 20050616) indicated that the proposed claims in Applicants' Response to the Final Office Action were not entered. However, claims 1 and 56 were indicated to be allowable if the non-allowable claims were cancelled. Applicants presume that the proposed claims 1 and 56 would be allowable along with their proposed dependent claims 2-6, 8, 9, 42-44, and 57 if proposed claims 10-39, 46-55 and 59-69 were cancelled. In this regard, claims 10-39, 46-55 and 59-69 are cancelled without prejudice, waiver, or disclaimer. Applicants take this action merely to reduce the number of disputed issues and to facilitate early allowance and issuance of other claims in the present application. Applicants reserve the right to pursue the subject matter of these cancelled claims in a continuing application, if Applicants so choose, and do not intend to dedicate any of the cancelled subject matter to the public.

Because the claims were not entered, the proposed claims 1-6, 8, 9, 42-44, 56, and 57 in Applicants' Response to the Final Office Action are presented again in this Response. Thus, Applicants respectfully request that amended claims 1-6, 8, 9, 42-44, 56, and 57 be entered and be allowable.

### CONCLUSION

Any statements in the Advisory Action that are not explicitly addressed herein are not intended to be admitted. In addition, any and all findings of inherency are traversed as not having been shown to be necessarily present. Furthermore, any and all findings of well-known art and official notice, or statements interpreted similarly, should not be considered well-known since the Advisory Action does not include specific factual findings predicated on sound technical and scientific reasoning to support such conclusions.

In light of the foregoing amendments and for at least the reasons set forth above, Applicants respectfully submit that all rejections have been traversed and that the pending claims 1-6, 8, 9, 42-44, 56, and 57 are in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application and pending claims are hereby courteously requested. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the examination of this matter, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned agent at (770) 933-9500.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Minh N. Nguyen, Reg. No. 53,864

**THOMAS, KAYDEN,**  
**HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, L.L.P.**  
Suite 1750  
100 Galleria Parkway N.W.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30339  
(770)933-9500